Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/697,687	MITTELSTADT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregg Cantelmo	1795
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Gregg Cantelmo</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Timothy Kang.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 October 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		•
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's representative confirmed that the instant application has been abandoned.		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	·	•
\hat{\chi}_{\chi}		
•		
March Cart		
(Examiner SPE Signature) (Appli	icant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)